

NORTHERN GREAT PLAINS 2023

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS

Full Proposal Due Date: Monday, March 13th, 2023, by 11:59 PM ET / 9:59 PM MT

A recording of our applicant webinar can be found here.

To ask any questions or discuss your project, please schedule a meeting here.

OVERVIEW

The National Fish and Wildlife Foundation (NFWF) is soliciting proposals to conserve and restore grasslands and wildlife species in the Northern Great Plains. The goal of the Northern Great Plains Program is to maintain and/or improve interconnected grasslands in focal areas to sustain healthy populations of grassland-obligate species while fostering sustainable livelihoods and preserving cultural identities including tribal cultures and food sovereignty. The Northern Great Plains program anticipates awarding approximately \$16 million in grants. Major funding partners include the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Forest Service, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), Bureau of Land Management, Bezos Earth Fund, Marathon Oil, Altria Group, Occidental Petroleum Corporation, and the Margret A. Cargill Philanthropies.

GEOGRAPHIC FOCUS

The following are the Northern Great Plains focal areas; Dakota Grasslands, Missouri-Milk River Grasslands, Nebraska Sandhills, and the Powder River-Thunder Basin Grasslands.





PROGRAM PRIORITIES

All proposals must specifically address how projects will directly and measurably contribute to the accomplishment of one or more of the program priorities as identified in the <u>Northern Great Plains</u> <u>Program Business Plan</u>. Projects that increase organizational capacity and utilize innovative and community-based approaches are encouraged.

The Northern Great Plains Program seeks projects that address the following desired outcomes:

Demonstrate successful models for grassland habitat conservation that:

- Increase grasslands connectivity through permanent conservation efforts that maintain working ranches and restrict sub-division and sod-busting activities (conservation easements, grass banking, etc.). Projects in areas at high risk of tillage will be more competitive.
- Strengthen tribal buffalo culture and food sovereignty through the restoration and improvement of grassland habitats on reservations and other tribal lands.
- Increase grassland connectivity through habitat restoration efforts (e.g., re-seeding, removal of woody invasives, prescribed fire, etc.). Strategies that complement the <u>NRCS Great</u> <u>Plains Biome Framework</u> are encouraged.
- Improve management at large scales that facilitates persistent long-term behavioral changes that benefit grasslands and focal species (e.g., conversion of expiring Conservation Reserve Program to managed grazing systems, installation of grazing management agreements etc.).

Improve population levels and related outcomes for grassland species in targeted focal areas including:

- Improve landscape permeability for pronghorn by removing and modifying fence and installing structures to minimize mortality at road crossings and bottleneck sites.
- Sustain populations of greater sage-grouse through restoration of meadows and removing or marking fence around key leks in Sage Grouse Focal Areas.
- Projects that help identify and address limiting factors for grassland obligate songbirds specifically: Baird's sparrow, Sprague's pipit, chestnut-collared and thick-billed longspur, and lark buntings.
- Promote black-footed ferret conservation through the distribution of sylvatic plague vaccine in targeted prairie dog colonies.

Community Impact and Engagement: Projects that incorporate outreach to communities, foster community engagement, and pursue collaborative management leading to measurable conservation benefits are encouraged. When possible, projects should be developed through community input and co-design processes. Additionally, projects should engage community-level partners (e.g., municipalities, NGOs, community organizations, community leaders) to help design, implement,



and maintain projects to secure maximum benefits for communities, maintenance, and sustainability post-grant award.

PROJECT METRICS

To better gauge progress on individual grants and to ensure greater consistency of project data provided by multiple grants, the Northern Great Plains program has a list of metrics in Easygrants for full proposal applicants to choose from for future reporting. We ask that applicants select only the most relevant metrics for their project (all possible program metrics are shown in the table below). If you do not believe an applicable metric has been provided, please <u>schedule a meeting</u> to discuss acceptable alternatives.

Project Activity	Recommended Metric	Additional Guidance
Greater sage-grouse	# of leks	Report the number of Greater sage-grouse leks on
population		your project (if applicable)
Conservation	Acres protected	Enter the number of acres protected in
easements - general	under easement	perpetual/long-term conservation easements (> 30
		years) - Please specify length of the easement in the
		notes. Do not include easement acres under the
		Greater sage-grouse easement metric. Assuming the
		specific parcel(s) has been identified, in the NOTES
		indicate what % of natural land cover would have
		been cleared in the absence of the easement(s).
Conservation	Acres protected	Enter the number of acres protected under
easements - sage	under easement	perpetual/long-term conservation easement (>30
grouse		years) specifically for Greater sage-grouse, specify
		length of easement in the notes section. Assuming
		the specific parcel(s) has been identified, in the
		NOTES indicate what % of natural land cover would
		have been cleared in the absence of the easement(s).
Land restoration -	Acres restored	Enter the acres associated with any practice that
general		restores grasslands to a functional condition (seeding
		crop to grass, removing conifer, specify restoration
		practice in the notes section). Do not include acres
		restored for Greater sage-grouse. In the notes specify
		landcover prior to restoration (barren, cropland,
		grass, shrub) and post-restoration (grassland)
Land restoration –	Acres restored	Enter the number of acres associated with upland
sage grouse		restoration activity (re-seeding, conifer removal etc.)
		specifically for Greater sage-grouse, report the
		specific restoration practice in the notes section and



		specify landcover prior to restoration (barren, cropland, grass, shrub) and post-restoration
		(grassland)
Riparian restoration	Acres restored	Enter acres associated with mesic wet meadow
		restoration practices (Zeedyk structures, beaver
		mimicry etc. note practice type in notes section)
		specifically for Greater sage-grouse. In the NOTES
		section, specify the landcover type prior to planting
		(barren, cropland, grassland), the dominant
		vegetation being planted (shrub, grass).
BMP implementation	Miles of fencing	Enter miles of fence removed or improved for
for fencing	improved	Greater Sage-grouse to minimize collision (fence
improvements - sage		markers, fladry etc., report practice in the notes
grouse		section).
BMP implementation	Miles of fencing	Enter miles of fence removal or modification
for fencing	improved	completed for improved pronghorn passage (raising
improvements -		the bottom wire, drop fence, etc. report specific
pronghorn		practice in the notes section)
Improved	Acres under	Enter the number of acres under improved
management practices	improved	management. Include any practice that improves
	management	grassland function (grazing management, changed
		behaviors, infrastructure development to facilitate
		managed grazing)
Black-footed ferret	# Acres protected	Enter the number of acres treated for the control of
disease control	from disease	sylvatic plague and the conservation of Black-footed
		ferrets (specify control measures implemented in the
		notes section)
Black-footed ferret	# Sites protected	Enter the number of sites protected from disease for
disease control		the conservation of Black-footed Ferrets

ELIGIBILITY

Eligible and Ineligible Entities

- Eligible applicants include non-profit 501(c) organizations, U.S. Federal government agencies, state government agencies, local governments, municipal governments, Tribal agencies, and educational institutions.
- Ineligible applicants include businesses and unincorporated individuals.

Ineligible Uses of Grant Funds

• **Equipment**: Applicants are encouraged to rent equipment where possible and cost-effective or use matching funds to make those purchases. NFWF acknowledges, however, that some



projects may only be completed using NFWF funds to procure equipment. If this applies to your project, please contact the program staff listed in this RFP to discuss options.

- Federal funds and matching contributions may not be used to procure or obtain equipment, services, or systems (including entering into or renewing a contract) that uses telecommunications equipment or services produced by Huawei Technologies Company or ZTE Corporation (or any subsidiary or affiliate of such entities) as a substantial or essential component, or as critical technology of any system. Refer to Public Law 115-232, section 889 for additional information.
- NFWF funds and matching contributions may not be used to support political advocacy, fundraising, lobbying, litigation, terrorist activities or Foreign Corrupt Practices Act violations.
- NFWF funds may not be used to support ongoing efforts to comply with legal requirements, including permit conditions, mitigation and settlement agreements. However, grant funds may be used to support projects that enhance or improve upon existing baseline compliance efforts.

FUNDING AVAILABILITY AND MATCH

The Northern Great Plains program will award approximately \$16 million in grants this cycle to 20-25 grants. Grants may be up to three years in length.

Matching contributions (non-federal and federal) are encouraged to demonstrate broad support for the project and overall impact of the work. The ratio of matching contributions offered to grant funding requested is one criterion considered during the review process.

Match can be any combination of in cash and/or in-kind goods and services (for example external/partner services, volunteers, or grantee in-kind, materials and services donated, etc.) or other tangible contributions to the project objectives and outcomes. No priority will be given to higher cash percentages versus other sources of match. In addition, eligible indirect costs (that would not be paid with requested grant funding) may be applied as match.

More information about using indirect costs as match can be found by clicking <u>here</u>. Full information on how to document match, including a description of acceptable sources of match, is available <u>here</u>.

EVALUATION CRITERIA

All proposals will be screened for relevance, accuracy, completeness, and compliance with NFWF and funding source policies. Proposals will then be evaluated based on the extent to which they meet the following criteria.

Program Goals and Priorities – Project contributes to the Program's overall habitat and species conservation goals as identified in the <u>Northern Great Plains Business Plan</u>, and has specific, quantifiable performance metrics to evaluate project success. Project addresses one or more of the program priorities outlined in the Request for Proposal.



Technical Merit – Project is technically sound and feasible, and the proposal sets forth a clear, logical and achievable work plan and timeline. Project engages appropriate technical experts throughout project planning, design, and implementation to ensure activities are technically sound and feasible.

Partnership and Community Impact – The applicant organization partners and engages collaboratively with diverse local community members, leaders, community-based organizations, and other relevant stakeholders to develop and implement the proposed project. This ensures long-term sustainability and success of the project, integration into local programs and policies, and community acceptance of proposed restoration actions. Non-traditional partners or communities are enlisted to broaden the sustained impact from the project. Describe the community engagement activities and how those will be monitored and measured. Use demographic data to support descriptions and submit letters of support from community partners and/or collaborators demonstrating their commitment to the project and engagement in project activities as proposed.

Cost-Effectiveness – Cost-effectiveness analysis identifies the economically most efficient way to meet project objectives. Project includes a cost-effective budget that balances performance risk and efficient use of funds. Cost-effectiveness evaluation includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of effective direct/indirect costs across all categories in the proposed budget according to the type, size and duration of project and project objectives. Project budgets will be compared to similar projects to ensure proposed costs across all budget categories are reasonable for the activities being performed and the outcomes proposed.

Transferability – Project has potential and plan to transfer lessons learned to other communities and/or to be integrated into government programs and policies.

Communication – Project includes a detailed plan to communicate information about the project to appropriate audiences.

Funding Need – Project establishes a clear need for the funds being requested and demonstrates that activities would not move forward absent funding.

Conservation Plan and Context – The project advances an existing conservation plan or strategy.

Monitoring – Project includes a plan for monitoring progress during and after the proposed project period to track project success and adaptively address new challenges and opportunities as they arise.

Long-term Sustainability – Project will be maintained to ensure benefits are achieved and sustained over time. This should include how future funding will be secured to implement necessary long-term monitoring and maintenance activities.

Past Success – Applicant has a proven track record of success in implementing conservation practices with specific, measurable results.



Key Personnel – Please include a brief description of all proposed project personnel and qualifications.

Partnership – An appropriate partnership exists to implement the project and the project is supported by a strong local partnership that leverages additional funds and will sustain it after the life of the grant. Identify proposed partners, if known (including potential or contemplated subawards to third party subrecipients of the applicant), the roles they will play in implementing the project, and how this project will build new or enhance existing partnerships. (Note: a project partner is any local community, non-profit organization, tribe, and/or local, state, and federal government agency that contributes to the project in a substantial way and is closely involved in the completion of the project.)

OTHER

Applicant Demographic Information – In an effort to better understand diversity in our grantmaking, NFWF is collecting basic demographic information on applicants and their organizations via a voluntary survey form (available in Easygrants). This information will not be shared externally or with reviewers and will <u>not</u> be considered when making grant decisions. For more details, please see the tip sheet and the Uploads section of Easygrants.

Budget – Costs are allowable, reasonable and budgeted in accordance with NFWF's <u>Budget</u> <u>Instructions</u> cost categories. Federally funded projects must be in compliance with <u>OMB Uniform</u> <u>Guidance</u> as applicable.

Environmental Services – NFWF funds projects in pursuit of its mission to sustain, restore and enhance the nation's fish, wildlife, plants, and habitats for current and future generations. NFWF recognizes that some benefits from projects may be of value with regards to credits on an environmental services market (such as a carbon credit market). NFWF does not participate in, facilitate, or manage an environmental services market nor does NFWF assert any claim on such credits.

Intellectual Property – Intellectual property created using NFWF awards may be copyrighted or otherwise legally protected by award recipients. NFWF may reserve the right to use, publish, and copy materials created under awards, including posting such material on NFWF's website and featuring it in publications. NFWF may use project metrics and spatial data from awards to estimate societal benefits that result and to report these results to funding partners. These may include but are not limited to: habitat and species response, species connectivity, water quality, water quantity, risk of detrimental events (e.g., wildfire, floods), carbon accounting (e.g., sequestration, avoided emissions), environmental justice, and diversity, equity, and inclusion.

Matching Contributions – Matching Contributions consist of cash, contributed goods and services, volunteer hours, and/or property raised and spent for the project during the Period of Performance. Both federal and non-federal funds may be counted towards match. Larger match ratios and



matching fund contributions from a diversity of partners are encouraged and will be more competitive during application review.

Procurement – If the applicant chooses to specifically identify proposed Contractor(s) for Services, an award by NFWF to the applicant does not constitute NFWF's express written authorization for the applicant to procure such specific services noncompetitively. When procuring goods and services, NFWF recipients must follow documented procurement procedures which reflect applicable laws and regulations.

Publicity and Acknowledgement of Support – Award recipients will be required to grant NFWF the right and authority to publicize the project and NFWF's financial support for the grant in press releases, publications, and other public communications. Recipients may also be asked by NFWF to provide high-resolution (minimum 300 dpi) photographs depicting the project.

Receiving Award Funds – Award payments are primarily reimbursable. Projects may request funds for reimbursement at any time after completing a signed agreement with NFWF. A request of an advance of funds must be due to an imminent need of expenditure and must detail how the funds will be used and provide justification and a timeline for expected disbursement of these funds.

Compliance Requirements – Projects selected may be subject to requirements under the National Environmental Policy Act, Endangered Species Act (state and federal), and National Historic Preservation Act. Documentation of compliance with these regulations must be approved prior to initiating activities that disturb or alter habitat or other features of the project site(s). Applicants should budget time and resources to obtain the needed approvals. As may be applicable, successful applicants may be required to comply with additional Federal, state, or local requirements and obtain all necessary permits and clearances.

Permits – Successful applicants will be required to provide sufficient documentation that the project expects to receive or has received all necessary permits and clearances to comply with any Federal, state, or local requirements. Where projects involve work in the waters of the United States, NFWF strongly encourages applicants to conduct a permit pre-application meeting with the Army Corps of Engineers prior to submitting their proposal. In some cases, if a permit pre-application meeting has not been completed, NFWF may require successful applicants to complete such a meeting prior to grant award.

Federal Funding –The availability of federal funds estimated in this solicitation is contingent upon the federal appropriations process. Funding decisions will be made based on level of funding and timing of when it is received by NFWF.



TIMELINE

Dates of activities are subject to change. Please check the NFWF <u>Northern Great Plains Program</u> page for the most current dates and information.

Full Proposal Due Date Review Period Awards Announced **Monday, March 13th, 2023, by 11:59 PM ET** March 2023 – May 2023 May 2023

HOW TO APPLY

All application materials must be submitted online through NFWF's Easygrants system.

1. Go to <u>easygrants.nfwf.org</u> to register in our Easygrants online system. New users to the system will be prompted to register before starting the application (if you already are a registered user, use your existing login). Enter your applicant information.

2. Once on your homepage, click the "Apply for Funding" button and select this RFP's "Funding Opportunity" from the list of options.

3. Follow the instructions in Easygrants to complete your application. Once an application has been started, it may be saved and returned to at a later time for completion and submission.

APPLICATION ASSISTANCE

A *Tip Sheet* is available for quick reference while you are working through your application. This document can be downloaded <u>here</u>. Additional information to support the application process can be accessed on the NFWF website's "<u>Applicant Information</u>" webpage. A recording of our applicant webinar can be found <u>here</u>.

For more information or questions about this RFP, please schedule a meeting with our team.

Seth Gallagher, Program Director, Grasslands and Mountain West (<u>seth.gallagher@nfwf.org</u>) Daley Burns, Manager, Rocky Mountain Regional Office (<u>daley.burns@nfwf.org</u>) Isabel Comella, Rocky Mountain Regional Coordinator (<u>isabel.comella@nfwf.org</u>)

For issues or assistance with our online Easygrants system, please contact: Easygrants Helpdesk Email: <u>Easygrants@nfwf.org</u> Voicemail: 202-595-2497 Hours: 9:00 am to 5:00 pm ET, Monday-Friday. Include: your name, proposal ID #, e-mail address, phone number, program you are applying to, and a description of the issue.